



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/737,200	12/15/2003	Steve Dispensa		9098
7590	02/04/2009		EXAMINER	
STEVE DISPENSA 3329 W. 145TH STREET LEAWOOD, KS 66224			BURGESS, BARBARA N	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2457		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/04/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/737,200	DISPENSA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	BARBARA N. BURGESS	2457	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 December 2008.
 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 4-19 is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) 19 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
 6) Claim(s) 4-18 is/are rejected.
 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ . |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>12-29-08</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ . |

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is in response to Request for Continuation Examination (RCE) filed December 29, 2008. Claims 1-3 have been cancelled as requested by Applicant. Claims 4-18 are presented for further examination. Claim 19 is newly added.

Election/Restrictions

1. Newly submitted claim 19 directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: Inventions I and II are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claim 19 withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. Claims 4-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Araujo et al. (hereinafter “Ara”, US Patent Publication 2003/0191799 A1).

As per claim 4, Ara discloses a system to provide remote computing client access to resources provided by at least one server in at least one target computing network, comprising:

a point-of-presence node configured to connect to the at least one target computing network (paragraphs [0029-0030, 0060-0061], Ara teaches a Virtual Office Server (point-of-presence node) connected to a LAN (target computing network);

at least one Internet Protocol Security concentrator resident in the point of presence node (paragraphs [0060-0061, 0063, 0069], Ara teaches the Virtual Office Server having an SSL and firewall/router for providing secure, remote, web-based access);

at least one access server resident in the point of presence node, wherein the at least one access server comprises a virtual private network module configured to implement a secure communication channel between the remote computing client and the at least one server in the at least one target communication network (paragraphs [0009, 0027, 0057, 0060-0061], Ara teaches a Virtual Office Server providing the user with a “virtual office”. The user is provided a secure, remote connection to various office processing applications. The user must provide username and password to logon to virtual office capability).

As per claim 5, Ara discloses the system of claim 4, wherein the remote computing client comprises a virtual private network module configured to cooperate with the virtual private network module resident in the point of presence node (paragraphs [0059, 0064, 0088]).

As per claim 6, Ara discloses the system of claim 5, wherein:

the virtual private network module in the remote computing client communicates with the virtual private network module in the at least one access server using a message exchange mode (paragraphs [0088-0089]);

the virtual private network module in the remote computing client receives application layer data from at least one application executing on the remote computing client (paragraphs [0089, 0096]).

As per claim 7, Ara discloses the system of claim 6, wherein the virtual private network module in the at least one access server is configured to implement a proxy client for at least one application executing on the remote computing device (paragraphs [0061, 0063, 0100]).

As per claim 8, Ara discloses the system of claim 5, wherein the virtual private network module in the remote computing client and the virtual private module in the at least one access server configured to establish an encrypted communication channel between a specific application executing on the remote computing client and the point of presence node (paragraphs [0063-0064]).

As per claim 9, Ara discloses the system of claim 8, wherein the virtual private network module in the remote computing client:

generates a first encryption data set comprising a public portion and a private portion (paragraphs [0025, 0069, 0077]);

transmits the public portion of the first encryption data set to the virtual private network module in a session set-up message (paragraphs [0025, 0069, 0077]).

As per claim 10, Ara discloses the system of claim 5, wherein the remote computing device further comprises a reconfiguration system module configured to collect system configuration data relating to the remote computing device, generates a system configuration file, and stores the system configuration file in a memory module in the remote computing device (paragraphs [0063, 0069]).

As per claim 11, Ara discloses the system of claim 10, wherein the at least one access server comprises:

a central policy manager module that configured to establish configuration policies for one or more remote clients that access resources via the virtual private network module (paragraphs [0063, 0069]);

a reconfiguration system module configured to cooperate with the reconfiguration system module in the remote computing device to impose configuration changes on the remote computing device (paragraphs [0069]).

As per claim 12, Ara discloses the system of claim 10, wherein the reconfiguration system configured to implement an atomic reconfiguration process on the remote computing device (paragraph [0085]).

As per claim 13, Ara discloses the system of claim 5, wherein the remote computing device comprises a local proxy module that emulates an HTTP proxy server (paragraphs [0129, 0134]).

As per claim 14, Ara discloses the system of claim 10, wherein the remote computing device comprises a client application tunneling module, wherein the client application

tunneling module configured to extract destination IP addresses and port numbers from communication packets and invokes the reconfiguration system module to reconfigure a name-to-address mapping for communications between the remote computing device and an application executing on a remote server (paragraphs [0076-0077]).

As per claim 15, Ara discloses the system of claim 5, wherein at least one server in the point of presence node further comprises a network address translation module configured to perform network address translation on incoming and outgoing packets to enable remote access to resources on one or more networks outside the target computing network (paragraphs [0030, 0066, 0072]).

As per claim 16, Ara discloses the system of claim 15, wherein the network address translation module is configured to automatically determine a network configuration for the at least one target computing network (paragraphs [0072, 0076]).

As per claim 17, Ara discloses the system of claim 5, wherein:
the at least one access server comprises a first network backup module (paragraph [0073]);

the remote computing device comprises a second network backup module (paragraph [0093]);

the first network backup module and the second network backup module configured to cooperate to back up and restore one or more files from the remote at least one access server (paragraphs [0093, 0097]).

As per claim 18, Ara discloses the system of claim 17, wherein the first network backup module configured to maintain incremental backups of files used by the remote computing client (paragraph [0073]).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to BARBARA N. BURGESS whose telephone number is (571)272-3996. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00am-4:00pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ario Etienne can be reached on (571) 272-4001. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Barbara N Burgess/
Examiner, Art Unit 2457

Barbara N Burgess
Examiner
Art Unit 2457

/ARIO ETIENNE/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2457